

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/748,839 | MILLER, DRAYTON |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Alicia Chevalier | 1772 |

All Participants:

(1) Alicia Chevalier.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Paul Bianco.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed amending the claims relative to the last compliant amendment filed Jan. 24, 2006. The examiner suggested adding replacing "a container made of" with "a wall structure consisting of" in claims 1 and 6 and minor changes to claims 3 and 4 to make them in line with the "consisting of" language. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes..